



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/736,661	12/14/2000	Arturo A. Rodriguez	A-6280	8279

7590 07/11/2003

Scientific-Atlanta Inc
Intellectual Property Dept MS 4.3.518
5030 Sugarloaf Parkway
Lawrenceville, GA 30044

EXAMINER

AN, SHAWN S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2613

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003

16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

B

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/736,661

Applicant(s)
Rodriguez et al.

Examiner
Shawn An

Art Unit
2613



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Shawn An

(3) _____

(2) Sami Malas

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jul 8, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 26, 29, and 40

Identification of prior art discussed:

Kalra et al (5,953,506)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

We've discussed the Applicant's claimed invention reciting "... including foregoing decoding of portions of the video input received by the decoding device.". The Examiner needs more time to review Kalra et al's reference to further define patentability of the recited claimed limitation. If, however, Examiner determines the claimed limitation overcoming the cited references, then updated search will be required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

SHAWN AN
PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner's signature, if required